



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,740	07/25/2001	Turguy Goker	50103-352	5600	
75	7590 10/03/2005			EXAMINER	
John A. Hankins			KIM, SANG K		
	McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			PAPER NUMBER	
•	Washington, DC 20005-3096				

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,740	GOKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	SANG KIM	3654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety for the provision of the pr	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>BAPI</u>	<i>I 3/30/05</i> .	
·_ ·	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		···
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,	Land attend
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/911,740

Art Unit: 3654

## Response to The Board of Appeals Decision

In view of the Board of Appeals Decision mailed on 3/30/05, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

## Claim Objections

Claims 18-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: line 2, "a guide arm motor" should be –said guide arm motor--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamming, U.S. Patent No. 6034839.

Hamming '839 shows a tape drive mechanism comprising a hub filler 100 (best seen in figures 9-13) coupled to a guide rail 47. The end of the tape 16 is connected to the hub filler 100 by a leader pin 14 attached to the end of the tape 16 and engaged in a slot 104 in the hub filler. The "unloading" operation, when the tape is wound off the reel 42 and onto reel 12, is described in column 9, lines 47-67. With reference to figures 8-12 and as described in column 9, lines 55-67, during unloading, motor 70 drives load

Application/Control Number: 09/911,740

Art Unit: 3654

arm 50 in a clockwise direction to move the hub filler back toward reel 12. The motor that drives reel 12 prevents slack from forming during the return trip of the hub filler 100 and the leader pin 14 to the reel 12. A conventional electronic or mechanical method is used to prevent the motor driving reel 12 from pulling against the loading mechanism (load arm 50). As discussed by Applicant in his specification (page 3, lines 10-17), in the Hamming '839 apparatus the load arm motor 70 and the motor driving cartridge reel 12 are calibrated to maintain adequate tension in the tape in order to reduce the risk of detachment of the tape from the hub filler.

Applicant has invoked the provisions of 35 USC 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph, to define the "means for preventing detachment of an end of tape from the hub filler during a tape unloading operation" set forth in claim 1, as comprising the frictional resistance of the hub filler in the guide track, the frictional resistance of the load or guide arm, the magnetic resistance of the guide arm motor, and optionally, stimulated electrical induction within the guide arm motor.

This limitation is taught by the electronic or mechanical calibration of Hamming's motor 70 and the motor driving reel 12, in conjunction with the inherent friction of hub filler 100 moving in guide 47, the inherent friction of movement of the load arm 50 and the force caused by the inherent magnetic resistance of the guide arm motor. These frictional forces would necessarily be present in the apparatus of Hamming, because Hamming provides no disclosure of frictionless devices, nor would such devices be reasonably inferred by one skilled in the art. The result of Hamming's calibration is maintenance of sufficient tension in the tape to prevent detachment of the leader pin 14

Application/Control Number: 09/911,740

Art Unit: 3654

from the hub filler 100, without exerting excessive tension on the tape or loading

mechanism.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SANG KIM whose telephone number is 571-272-6947.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30

P.M. alternating Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kathy Matecki, can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SK

9/20/05

KATHY MATECKI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 4

Must de the 3600